STATE CAPITOL P.O. BOX 942849 SACRAMENTO, CA 942849-0116 (916) 319-2093 FAX: (916) 319-2193



MEMBERS KATCHO ACHADJIAN, VICE CHAIR CATHARINE B. BAKER RICHARD BLOOM NORA CAMPOS KANSEN CHU TOM DALY BILL DODD **EDUARDO GARCIA** JIMMY GOMEZ YOUNG O. KIM ERIC LINDER JOSE MEDINA MELISSA A MELENDEZ ADRIN NAZARIAN PATRICK O'DONNELL

## Informational Hearing

# The Basics of Transportation Funding

#### <u>Introduction</u>

The purpose of today's hearing is to brief members of the Assembly Transportation Committee on the history and structure of transportation funding in California and to explain why that structure no longer supports transportation adequately. To this end, representatives of the Legislative Analyst Office, the Department of Transportation, the California Transportation Commission, the Board of Equalization, and other transportation stakeholders will testify.

Members of the Assembly Budget Subcommittee #3 on Resources and Transportation were invited to join the Committee for today's hearing.

#### Background

There was a time in California's history when transportation funding was straightforward. The state's first gas tax was enacted in 1922. It was fairly easy to collect and the impact of the tax was fairly evenly distributed in proportion to the use of the road. The more miles one drove, the more gas they consumed, and the more tax they paid.

Back then, transit was a privately-funded service as was rail.

Now, however, most highway projects are funded with a cobbled-together mix of upwards of a dozen different funding sources, including revenue from federal and state gas taxes (the state now has essentially two gas taxes--one fixed and the other price-based), locally imposed sales taxes, bond dollars, and, to some extent, tolls. Transit receives a mix of fare box revenue, federal funding, General Fund dollars, and cap and trade auction revenues. High-speed rail is being built with a mixture of bond dollars, federal funds, and cap and trade auction revenue. And active transportation programs are supported, in part, with gas tax revenue and cap and trade auction proceeds.

Still, the mainstay of transportation funding remains the gas tax. In a state that is driven to reduce the amount of gas consumed in pursuit of numerous over-arching policy goals, such as reducing air pollution and greenhouse gas emissions and minimizing purchases of foreign oil, this does not bode well for transportation. While the future

HORSE TO IS

looks bright for these other policy goals, the reduced consumption of gas portends a dark future for transportation systems heavily dependent on gas tax revenue for support.

In short, California's transportation funding is in crisis. Today's hearing will lay out the basics of what has become a very complex funding structure. It will also shed light on how we got to a state of crisis. Finally, today's hearing will hopefully convince us all that a resolution of the crisis is warranted and urgent.

### **Attachments**

Included in members' packets are two sets of charts that depict the complicated world of transportation funding in California. The "2014-15 California Transportation Financing Package" and "Transportation Funding in California," both published by the California Department of Transportation. Although the actual dollar amounts displayed in the charts changes year to year, both sets of documents provide good reference material that explains how transportation funding flows and how it is distributed.